

NOT TO BE PUBLISHED IN THE OFFICIAL REPORTS

California Rules of Court, rule 8.1115(a), prohibits courts and parties from citing or relying on opinions not certified for publication or ordered published, except as specified by rule 8.1115(b). This opinion has not been certified for publication or ordered published for purposes of rule 8.1115.

IN THE COURT OF APPEAL OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA

SECOND APPELLATE DISTRICT

DIVISION SIX

In re A.R., a Person Coming Under
the Juvenile Court Law.

2d Juv. No. B291575
(Super. Ct. No. YJ39477)
(Los Angeles County)

THE PEOPLE,

Plaintiff and Respondent,

v.

A.R.,

Defendant and Appellant.

A.R. appeals a judgment entered after the juvenile court sustained one count of a wardship petition alleging the possession of a firearm by a minor, and two counts on another petition alleging attempted robbery and second degree robbery. (Welf. & Inst. Code, § 602; Pen. Code, §§ 29610, 664, 211.) The court declared A.R. to be a ward of the court and placed him on home probation with terms and conditions.

FACTUAL AND PROCEDURAL HISTORY

On May 22, 2018, the prosecutor filed a Welfare and Institutions Code section 602 petition alleging that A.R. possessed a firearm (count 1) and resisted a police officer (count 2). (Pen. Code, §§ 29610, 148, subd. (a)(1).) On June 28, 2018, the juvenile court held a contested adjudication hearing.

At the hearing, the prosecutor presented evidence that in the evening of May 21, 2018, Los Angeles police officers attempted to detain A.R. for a curfew violation. A.R. informed the officers that he was 16 years old. He also consented to a patdown for weapons. When the officers instructed A.R. to approach, he ran from the officers and tossed a firearm toward a building. The officers apprehended A.R. and recovered the firearm.

Following presentation of evidence and argument by the parties, the juvenile court sustained count 1 of the wardship petition and dismissed count 2, resisting arrest. At the disposition hearing, A.R. admitted one count of attempted robbery and robbery, alleged in an earlier petition. The court then declared A.R. a ward of the court pursuant to Welfare and Institutions Code section 602, and ordered him placed on home probation with terms and conditions.

We appointed counsel to represent A.R. in this appeal. After counsel's examination of the record, she filed an opening brief raising no issues.

On October 17, 2018, we advised A.R. that he had 30 days within which to personally submit any contentions or issues that he wished to raise on appeal. We have not received a response.

We have reviewed the entire record and are satisfied that A.R.'s attorney has fully complied with her responsibilities and

that no arguable issue exists. (*People v. Wende* (1979) 25 Cal.3d 436, 441; *In re Kevin S.* (2003) 113 Cal.App.4th 97, 119 [prophylactic rules of *Wende* apply to delinquency appeals].)

The judgment is affirmed.

NOT TO BE PUBLISHED.

GILBERT, P.J.

We concur:

YEGAN, J.

TANGEMAN, J.

David S. Wesley, Judge

Superior Court County of Los Angeles

Elana Goldstein, under appointment by the Court of
Appeal, for Defendant and Appellant.

No appearance for Plaintiff and Respondent.